## Fault-tolerant quantum speedup from constant depth quantum circuits

Rawad Mezher , Joe Ghalbouni, Joseph Dgheim, and Damian Markham

## [arXiv:2005.11539v2](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.11539.pdf)

Extended abstract−A critical milestone in the field of quantum computing is the near-term demonstration of a socalled *quantum computational advantage*. In principle, this could be done by constructing a quantum device which provably outperforms its classical counterpart for a specific computational task. Among the promising candidates for demonstrating a near-term quantum advantage are the so-called *sub-universal* quantum devices which are not universal, in the sense that they cannot perform any quantum computation, but are realizable in principle by our current technologies [\[1\]](#page-1-0). Several examples of such practically motivated sub-universal models which nevertheless capture a sense of quantum advantage have been discovered in recent years. In most of these works, sampling from the output probability distribution of these sub-universal devices has been shown to be classically impossible to do efficiently, provided widely believed complexity theoretic conjectures hold [\[2,](#page-1-1) [3,](#page-1-2) [4,](#page-1-3) [5\]](#page-1-4). Thus, these devices demonstrate what is known as an exponential quantum speedup (sometimes referred to as quantum supremacy) [\[6\]](#page-1-5).

The first experimental demonstration of quantum speedup is a major milestone in quantum information. Recent audacious experimental efforts [\[7\]](#page-1-6) and subsequent proposals of their classical simulation [\[8\]](#page-1-7) bring to light the challenges and subtleties of achieving this goal. Among these challenges is the important issue of dealing with noise in the quantum device. Indeed, it was shown in multiple works [\[9,](#page-1-8) [10,](#page-1-9) [11,](#page-1-10) [12,](#page-1-11) [13,](#page-1-12) [14\]](#page-1-13) that noise can very easily lead to the breakdown of quantum speedup, rendering the output probabilities of these devices (which in the noiseless case demonstrate quantum speedup) classically simulable efficiently. There is clearly a great need to understand better the effect of noise, and develop methods of mitigation. Unfortunately, introducing full-blown fault-tolerant techniques to deal with the noise [\[15,](#page-1-14) [16,](#page-1-15) [17\]](#page-1-16) adds a significant overhead, in terms of ancilla qubit number, circuit depth, and number of interactions with a classical device. All of these typically scale with the system size. This is undesirable as it usually takes us out of the simplified computational models which made sub-universal devices attractive in the first place. Though there are exceptions to this for specific sub-universal models and specific (yet slightly unrealistic) types of noise [\[9\]](#page-1-8).

In this work, we study how quantum speedup can be demonstrated in the presence of noise for a family of sampling problems. We take as our noise model the local stochastic quantum noise, commonly studied in the quantum error correction and fault-tolerance literature [\[18,](#page-2-0) [19,](#page-2-1) [20,](#page-2-2) [21\]](#page-2-3). Our sampling problems are built on a family of schemes essentially based on local measurements on regular graph states composed of  $n$  qubits, which correspond to constant depth 2D nearest neighbor (NN) quantum circuits showing quantum speedup [\[22,](#page-2-4) [23,](#page-2-5) [24,](#page-2-6) [25\]](#page-2-7). We show that these can be made fault-tolerant in a way which maintains constant depth of the quantum circuits, albeit with  $poly(n)$  overhead in the number of ancilla qubits used, and at most two rounds of efficient classical computation during the running of the circuit.

We present two constructions, each composed of a polynomial number of noisy qubits, some of which are prepared in noisy magic states [\[26\]](#page-2-8). The first of our constructions is a constant depth quantum circuit composed of single and two-qubit NN Clifford gates in four dimensions. This circuit has one layer of interaction with a classical computer before final measurements. Our second construction is a constant depth quantum circuit with single and two-qubit NN Clifford gates in three dimensions, but with two layers of interaction with a classical computer before the final measurements.

In constructing our circuits, we use various concepts from [\[18\]](#page-2-0) such as single shot fault-tolerant logical state preparation and forward propagation of local stochastic noise through a Clifford circuit. We also develop various new techniques, which could have potential applications outside of this work, such as constant depth non-adaptive magic state distillation [\[26\]](#page-2-8) and constant depth output routing. Our developped techniques can be understood naturally when looking at the framework of measurement based quantum computation (MBQC) [\[27\]](#page-2-9).

Closest to our work are those of [\[18\]](#page-2-0) and [\[28\]](#page-2-10). In [\[18\]](#page-2-0), a constant depth 3D NN Clifford circuit is constructed to perform a task which cannot be performed by any constant depth classical circuit. Their result is unconditional, robust to local stochastic noise, and their circuit does not have any interaction with a classical device during running [\[18\]](#page-2-0). In our case, we show that constant depth 3D NN Clifford circuits, with non-Clifford inputs, can perform a task which no *polynomial*  depth classical circuit can perform. However, our result is conditioned on two complexity theoretic conjectures holding, is robust to local stochastic noise, and requires two interactions with a classical computer while running. In [\[28\]](#page-2-10) it is shown that constant depth 3D NN Clifford circuits with non-Clifford input can perform a task which no polynomial time classical circuit can perform. These circuits are robust to a specific noise [\[29\]](#page-2-11), and have no interaction with a classical device when running. However, the main disadvantage of the construction in [\[28\]](#page-2-10) is that it is impractical, in the sense that one should repeat the experiment (construct the circuit, then measure) an exponential number of times in order to observe an instance which is hard for the classical computer to simulate. In our work, we overcome this problem using our new distillation technique, thereby making the appearance of a hard instance very likely in only a few repetitions of the experiment, a feature called single-instance hardness [\[22\]](#page-2-4).

## References

- <span id="page-1-0"></span>[1] Preskill J. Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond. Quantum. 2018 Aug 6;2:79.
- <span id="page-1-1"></span>[2] Bremner MJ, Jozsa R, Shepherd DJ. Classical simulation of commuting quantum computations implies collapse of the polynomial hierarchy. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences. 2011 Feb 8;467(2126):459-72.
- <span id="page-1-2"></span>[3] Aaronson S, Arkhipov A. The computational complexity of linear optics. InProceedings of the forty-third annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing 2011 Jun 6 (pp. 333-342).
- <span id="page-1-3"></span>[4] Farhi E, Harrow AW. Quantum supremacy through the quantum approximate optimization algorithm. arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.07674. 2016 Feb 24.
- <span id="page-1-4"></span>[5] Boixo S, Isakov SV, Smelyanskiy VN, Babbush R, Ding N, Jiang Z, Bremner MJ, Martinis JM, Neven H. Characterizing quantum supremacy in near-term devices. Nature Physics. 2018 Jun;14(6):595-600.
- <span id="page-1-5"></span>[6] Harrow AW, Montanaro A. Quantum computational supremacy. Nature. 2017 Sep;549(7671):203-9.
- <span id="page-1-6"></span>[7] Arute F, Arya K, Babbush R, Bacon D, Bardin JC, Barends R, Biswas R, Boixo S, Brandao FG, Buell DA, Burkett B. Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor. Nature. 2019 Oct;574(7779):505-10.
- <span id="page-1-7"></span>[8] Pednault E, Gunnels JA, Nannicini G, Horesh L, Wisnieff R. Leveraging secondary storage to simulate deep 54-qubit sycamore circuits. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.09534. 2019 Oct 21.
- <span id="page-1-8"></span>[9] Bremner MJ, Montanaro A, Shepherd DJ. Achieving quantum supremacy with sparse and noisy commuting quantum computations. Quantum. 2017 Apr 25;1:8.
- <span id="page-1-9"></span>[10] Oszmaniec M, Brod DJ. Classical simulation of photonic linear optics with lost particles. New Journal of Physics. 2018 Sep 19;20(9):092002.
- <span id="page-1-10"></span>[11] Shchesnovich VS. Noise in boson sampling and the threshold of efficient classical simulatability. Physical Review A. 2019 Jul 24;100(1):012340.
- <span id="page-1-11"></span>[12] Takahashi Y, Takeuchi Y, Tani S. Classically Simulating Quantum Circuits with Local Depolarizing Noise. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08373. 2020 Jan 23.
- <span id="page-1-12"></span>[13] Noh K, Jiang L, Fefferman B. Efficient classical simulation of noisy random quantum circuits in one dimension. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.13163. 2020 Mar 29.
- <span id="page-1-13"></span>[14] Yung MH, Gao X. Can chaotic quantum circuits maintain quantum supremacy under noise?. arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.08913. 2017 Jun 27.
- <span id="page-1-14"></span>[15] Fowler AG. Proof of finite surface code threshold for matching. Physical review letters. 2012 Nov 2;109(18):180502.
- <span id="page-1-15"></span>[16] Nielsen MA, Chuang I. Quantum computation and quantum information.
- <span id="page-1-16"></span>[17] Dennis E, Kitaev A, Landahl A, Preskill J. Topological quantum memory. Journal of Mathematical Physics. 2002 Sep; 43(9): 4452-505.
- <span id="page-2-0"></span>[18] Bravyi S, Gosset D, Koenig R, Tomamichel M. Quantum advantage with noisy shallow circuits. Nature Physics. 2020 Jul 6:1-6.
- <span id="page-2-1"></span>[19] Fawzi O, Grospellier A, Leverrier A. Constant overhead quantum fault-tolerance with quantum expander codes. In2018 IEEE 59th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS) 2018 Oct 7 (pp. 743-754). IEEE.
- <span id="page-2-2"></span>[20] Gottesman D. Fault-tolerant quantum computation with constant overhead. arXiv preprint arXiv:1310.2984. 2013 Oct 10.
- <span id="page-2-3"></span>[21] Aliferis P, Gottesman D, Preskill J. Quantum accuracy threshold for concatenated distance-3 codes. arXiv preprint quant-ph/0504218. 2005 Apr 28.
- <span id="page-2-4"></span>[22] Gao X, Wang ST, Duan LM. Quantum supremacy for simulating a translation-invariant Ising spin model. Physical review letters. 2017 Jan 27;118(4):040502.
- <span id="page-2-5"></span>[23] Mezher R, Ghalbouni J, Dgheim J, Markham D. Efficient quantum pseudorandomness with simple graph states. Physical Review A. 2018 Feb 23;97(2):022333.
- <span id="page-2-6"></span>[24] Bermejo-Vega J, Hangleiter D, Schwarz M, Raussendorf R, Eisert J. Architectures for quantum simulation showing a quantum speedup. Physical Review X. 2018 Apr 9;8(2):021010.
- <span id="page-2-7"></span>[25] Hangleiter D, Bermejo-Vega J, Schwarz M, Eisert J. Anticoncentration theorems for schemes showing a quantum speedup. Quantum. 2018 May 22;2:65.
- <span id="page-2-8"></span>[26] Bravyi S, Kitaev A. Universal quantum computation with ideal Clifford gates and noisy ancillas. Physical Review A. 2005 Feb 22;71(2):022316.
- <span id="page-2-9"></span>[27] Raussendorf R, Briegel HJ. A one-way quantum computer. Physical Review Letters. 2001 May 28;86(22):5188.
- <span id="page-2-10"></span>[28] Kapourniotis T, Datta A. Nonadaptive fault-tolerant verification of quantum supremacy with noise. Quantum. 2019 Jul 12;3:164.
- <span id="page-2-11"></span>[29] Raussendorf R, Harrington J, Goyal K. Topological fault-tolerance in cluster state quantum computation. New Journal of Physics. 2007 Jun 29;9(6):199.